Scoring Rubric for RSCA Grants

Applicant Name: _____ **Rank:** _____

Title: _____

For items 1-7 below, rate each criterion using the following scale based on the quality of the proposal:

1	2	3
Poor	Good	Excellent

1.	The project components/activities are clearly described and support the project outcome(s). *Comments:*	1	2	3
2.	The end result/anticipated outcome(s) of the project is/are clearly described. *Comments:*	1	2	3
3.	The significance of the project to its field is well defined and indicates how the project will make an important contribution to the field. *Comments:*	1	2	3
4.	The project timeframe is clearly described and appropriate. The project is feasible and all components/activities are manageable in the timeframe. *Comments:*	1	2	3
5.	The description of how the project will enhance the applicant's professional growth is clear. *Comments:*	1	2	3
6.	The budget is clearly described, justified, itemized, and reasonable. *Comments:* If appropriate, current CSU mileage and per diem rates are used ___Yes ___No If appropriate, is an assigned time request adequately justified? ___Yes ___No	1	2	3

8. If students are involved in the project, the description makes clear the nature of student involvement and how this involvement promotes the faculty member's professional development. ___Yes ___No ____ N/A

9. If multiple faculty submit a single proposal, the description makes clear the collaborative nature of the project as well as each faculty member's role in the project. ___Yes ___No ____ N/A

10. Are final reports of previous RSCA Grants on file? ___Yes ___No ____ N/A

11. Applicant seeking outside funding for proposed activities ___Yes ___ No

12. Applicant has other funding (startup or other internal funding) for this proposed research ___Yes ___No